Exhibit D

BRIDGETTE ESCOBEDO LA UNIÓN DEL PUEBLO ENTERO V. TEXAS

May 11, 2022

1 2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION
3 4 5 6	LA UNIÓN DEL PUEBLO S ENTERO, ET AL., S PLAINTIFFS, S V. S CASE NO. 5:21-cv-844-XR S TEXAS, ET AL., S DEFENDANTS. S
8 9 10 11	OCA-GREATER HOUSTON, § ET AL., § PLAINTIFFS, § V. § CASE NO. 1:21-cv-0780-XR TEXAS SECRETARY OF STATE § JOHN SCOTT, ET AL, § DEFENDANTS. §
13 14 15 16	HOUSTON AREA URBAN LEAGUE, § ET AL., § PLAINTIFFS, § V. § CASE NO. 5:21-cv-0848-XR § GREGORY WAYNE ABBOTT, § ET AL., § DEFENDANTS. §
18 19 20 21	LULAC TEXAS, ET AL., § PLAINTIFFS, § V. § CASE NO. 1:21-cv-0786-XR S JOHN SCOTT, ET AL., § DEFENDANTS. §
22232425	MI FAMILIA VOTA, ET AL., § PLAINTIFFS, § V. § CASE NO. 5:21-cv-0920-XR § GREG ABBOTT, ET AL., § DEFENDANTS. §



BRIDGETTE ESCOBEDO LA UNIÓN DEL PUEBLO ENTERO V. TEXAS

May 11, 2022

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, §
2	PLAINTIFF, §
3	V. § CASE NO. 5:21-cv-1085-XR §
4	THE STATE OF TEXAS, § ET AL., §
5	DEFENDANTS. § ************************************
6	ORAL 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF
7	TRAVIS COUNTY CLERK REPRESENTATIVE
8	TESTIMONY OF BRIDGETTE ESCOBEDO
9	MAY 11, 2022
10	(Reported remotely)
11	*************
12	
13	ORAL DEPOSITION OF BRIDGETTE ESCOBEDO,
14	produced as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiff
15	OCA-Greater Houston, and duly sworn, was taken in the
16	above-styled and numbered cause on the 11th day of
17	May, 2022, from 10:11 a.m. to 4:27 p.m., reported
18	remotely via Zoom, before MICHELLE CARVER, CSR, in and
19	for the State of Texas, reported by oral stenograph in
20	Travis County, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of
21	Civil Procedure, the current Emergency Order regarding
22	the COVID-19 State of Disaster, and the provisions
23	stated on the record or attached hereto.
24	
25	



1	money?
2	MS. HUNKER: Objection. Form.
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Would you agree with me that buying
5	someone's lunch could be considered a type of
6	compensation?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Have you received any training from the
9	Secretary of State about this particular new provision
10	606?
11	A. No. Well, there are advisories that come
12	out that you're you can read, and I have probably
13	read that, but specific training, no.
14	Q. Do you know if there was an advisory about
15	606?
16	A. Not specifically.
17	Q. What kind of advisories do you typically get
18	from the Secretary of State?
19	A. They're they come out periodically about
20	topics, and they are available for the public.
21	Q. Are they available well, are they
22	advisories directed at elections administrators?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And do they does the Secretary of State
25	send the advisory to you?



- And how do election workers verify the 1 Ο. 2 current form? 3 Α. So the form was recently updated, and so we provided them with a -- with the old form and the new 4 5 form so they can compare and make sure that it was the correct form they're using. 6 7 Actually, I'm sorry. I shouldn't have asked 0. 8 that foundational question. Which -- what form are 9 you referring to? 10 Α. The appointment of poll watcher. 11 Are you -- do you mean a certificate of --0. 12 Certificate of appointment, yes. Α. 13 Understood. O. 14 Α. Yeah.
- Q. Got it, thanks. Okay. And if we could flip
- 17 taking a second to just read 4.07. And it starts from

to the next page to 4.07, and if you wouldn't mind

- 18 line 21 on page 27, and it goes through line 8 on
- 19 page 28.

16

- 20 A. Okay. I'm aware.
- 21 Q. Okay. You do --
- 22 A. I've read -- yes. This one I know.
- Q. Understood. Okay. What is your
- 24 understanding of what this provision is?
- 25 A. The poll watcher is entitled to sit or stand



near enough to hear or see what they're trying to 1 2. observe. 3 0. And did you provide any training to election workers on how to implement this provision? 4 5 Α. We gave them the information in the Code, 6 yes. And when you gave election workers this 7 0. 8 provision, did you provide any explanation on what some of the terms -- the language in this provision 10 means? 11 Yes. And we had a lot of questions, but due Α. 12 to COVID, they were very -- the election workers were 13 very concerned because some of the poll watchers stand 14 very closely, and they -- a lot of the workers wanted 15 them to maintain the -- the 6-foot social distancing. 16 We had to educate them on the differences between 17 asking them to stay away and allowing them to be 18 closer. We had to let them know they had this right 19 to do so. 20 Q. That poll watchers had --21 Α. That poll watchers had the right. Understood. And so let me unpack that a 22 Q. 23 little bit. A moment ago you said you had a lot of 24 questions about this provision. 25 Α. Uh-huh.



BRIDGETTE ESCOBEDO LA UNIÓN DEL PUEBLO ENTERO V. TEXAS

May 11, 2022 61

You mean questions during the training --1 O. 2 (Simultaneous speaking) 3 Α. Yes. 4 0. Okay. And --I'm sorry. THE COURT REPORTER: 5 I need you to repeat your question. Y'all are talking at the 6 same time, and I'm missing portions of it. 8 MR. WHITE: My fault. Thanks. I'11 9 repeat it. 10 So a moment ago you said that you had a lot 0. of questions about this provision, and is that fair to 11 12 say? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And these are questions that were asked 15 during the training session that your office provided 16 to election workers? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And what sorts of questions were election 0. 19 judges asking? 20 Α. Specifically about the 6-foot distance. They wanted -- they -- they allowed the election 21 workers -- because COVID was still in effect, they 22 23 wanted to be able to ask the poll watchers to stay 24 6-feet away from them. 25 Q. And what did you -- strike that.



1	How did you answer those questions?
2	A. We just told them that according to this
3	Code that they were allowed to sit or stand near
4	enough to see or hear, and so they had to just use
5	their best judgment with that.
6	Q. So would you agree with me that this
7	provision prevents election workers from denying a
8	poll watcher free movement at a polling place?
9	MR. POPE: Objection. Form.
10	MS. HUNKER: Objection. Form.
11	Q. Do you want me to repeat the question?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Would you agree that this provision prevents
14	election workers from denying a poll watcher free
15	movement at a polling site?
16	A. Yes.
17	MS. HUNKER: Same objection.
18	Q. And what is your understanding of what it
19	means to deny a poll watcher free movement at a
20	polling site?
21	A. To not allow them to go into a specific
22	location or be at a specific process.
23	Q. Did the Secretary of State's Office provide
24	any guidance on what it means to deny a poll watcher
25	free movement at a polling place?



Not specific items, but they did say that 1 2 there was a poll watcher -- a new -- SB 1 required a 3 new training for the poll watchers to -- to take. 4 Ο. When you say "they," you're referring to the Secretary of State's Office? 5 6 Α. Yes. 7 And who in the Secretary of State's Office 0. told you that? 8 9 Α. The staff attorneys. 10 And you also just mentioned that -- the 0. training program for poll watchers. Are you familiar 11 12 with that training program? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Have you completed it yourself? 0. 15 Α. Yes. 16 Is there an assessment at the end of the Q. 17 training program that ascertains whether the poll 18 watcher has understood the material in the program? 19 Α. I don't remember. 20 0. Would the existence of some sort of Okav. 21 assessment at the conclusion of the training program, 22 in your opinion, affect how effective the program is? 23 MR. POPE: Objection. 24 Based on feedback that I heard, it's --Α. 25 it's -- it's not very effective.



- 1 Q. And what sort of feedback have you heard?
- A. That it is nothing more than reading the
- 3 poll watcher guide.
- 4 Q. And where did you hear this from?
- 5 A. Various sources. The -- one of the party
- 6 members. A member of a particular party that we
- 7 | contract with.
- 8 Q. Who was that member?
- 9 A. The executive director of the Republican
- 10 | Party (inaudible).
- 11 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can
- 12 you repeat your answer?
- 13 A. The executive director of the Republican
- 14 Party in Travis County.
- 15 Q. So the executive director of the Republican
- 16 Party complained to you about the training program?
- A. Well, he didn't complain. He just made a
- 18 | statement saying that it's not -- the training was not
- 19 very helpful. There was still a lot of questions of
- 20 what, you know, could and couldn't be done in a
- 21 polling location.
- Q. Understood. And what were the circumstances
- 23 under which he made this or she made this statement to
- 24 you?
- A. We were trying to make sure that all of the



- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And what does this provision do?
- 3 A. It says that you commit an offense if you
- 4 knowingly obstruct a poll watcher from viewing
- 5 something.
- Q. And what sorts of actions can obstruct the
- 7 | view of a poll watcher?
- 8 A. If you don't allow them to see someone
- 9 | check -- being checked-in on a poll book or they ask
- 10 to view a record.
- 11 Q. Did you receive any guidance from the
- 12 | Secretary of State's Office about the types of actions
- 13 | that can obstruct a poll watcher?
- 14 A. Not that I can recall.
- 15 Q. So would you agree with me that this
- 16 provision makes it a criminal offense if a person
- 17 obstructs the poll watcher?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And did you receive any concerns from
- 20 election workers about this provision?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And what were those concerns?
- 23 A. So they -- the workers would have -- at the
- 24 end of a training session, they would tell our
- 25 | trainers that it was a concern for them that they



didn't want to be committed of an offense, and so they 1 2 had concerns about working in the future. So, yeah, 3 this one was -- was really -- caused a lot of concern 4 for them. 5 0. Do you know if there are any election workers who decided not to work in the future because 6 7 of this provision? 8 There are several. Α. Yes. 9 Q. There are several? Do you know how many? 10 I don't know exactly how many. Α. 11 More than 5? 0. 12 Α. Yes. 13 More than 10? Q. 14 I'd say probably between 25 to 50. Α. 15 So 25 to 50 election workers who chose not Q. to work in the future because out of concerns of 16 17 SB 1's provisions relating to poll watchers? 18 Α. Yes. 19 0. Okav. And are these individuals who had served as election workers during previous election 20 21 cycles? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Did this lead to any staff shortages during Ο. 24 the March 2022 primary? 25 Α. Yes.



- Q. And how significant of staff shortages did
- 2 Travis County experience?
- A. Initially, it was -- it was quite
- 4 | significant; so yes.
- Q. What impact did that have on your ability to administer the March primary election?
- 7 A. Well, we, Travis County, were able to get
- 8 | all of our polling locations fully staffed. But in
- 9 | the very beginning, we start recruiting quite early
- 10 for the primary election like in November and
- 11 December, and that was initially when SB 1 went into
- 12 effect. And so there was so many unknowns, and a lot
- 13 of the election workers had just heard on the news or
- 14 via social media that they can be committed of an
- 15 offense. And so when we were initially around that
- 16 same time calling them asking them, you know, if they
- 17 | were interested in working the primary election, they
- 18 | related to us they were not interested.
- Q. And so you were ultimately able to have all
- 20 | your polling sites fully staffed?
- 21 A. Right.
- Q. And tell me a little bit about the process
- 23 of replacing these election workers.
- A. So we just -- we did several different
- 25 things: We did a press release. We really relied on



- 1 any of the provisions, we would have called the legal
- 2 | line, but I can't recall a specific instance where we
- 3 | needed guidance.
- 4 Q. And apologies for jumping around. A moment
- 5 ago you had mentioned shortages of poll watchers and
- 6 your efforts to recruit replacements. Are you
- 7 concerned about staffing shortages in future
- 8 elections?
- 9 A. Not poll watchers.
- 10 Q. Oh, I'm sorry.
- 11 A. Poll workers?
- 12 Q. Poll workers.
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Excuse me. Yes?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 O. "Yes" you are concerned about shortages in
- 17 | future elections?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Did your office record the number of
- 20 | poll watchers who report for service in a given
- 21 | election?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. How does your office record that
- 24 | information?
- 25 A. There's two different avenues for recording



1	THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Thanks.
2	Q. Is it your position that there is no action
3	with respect to removing a poll watcher that you
4	wouldn't take now that you would have taken prior to
5	SB 1?
6	A. Can you repeat the question? I'm sorry.
7	Q. Is there any action with respect to removing
8	a poll watcher that you wouldn't take now that you
9	would have prior to SB 1?
10	MR. WHITE: Objection. Form.
11	A. I'm not aware.
12	Q. Now, you had talked a little bit about
13	shortages or declining you talked a little about
14	shortages of election workers with respect to the poll
15	watchers provision; is that correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. Now, when you were talking about poll
18	workers or election judges who declined to serve this
19	term, do you know if it was the provisions of SB 1 or
20	people's descriptions of provisions of SB 1?
21	A. It was to my I I believe it was
22	their understanding that they could be penalized
23	criminally; they did not want to experience any of
24	that. So that's what was told to me.
25	MR. WHITE: I'm sorry. I object to

